

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA**

United States of America,

Criminal No. 13-40(1)(2)&(3) (DWF/JJG)

Plaintiff,

v.

**ORDER ADOPTING REPORT
AND RECOMMENDATION**

Michael Scott Luedtke (1), Edward
McCabe Robinson (2), and Irah Lee
Goodwin (3),

Defendants.

This matter is before the Court upon Defendants Michael Scott Luedtke, Edward McCabe Robinson, and Irah Lee Goodwin's (collectively "Defendants") objections (Doc. No. 96), to Magistrate Judge Jeanne J. Graham's June 5, 2013 Report and Recommendation (Doc. No. 91), insofar as it recommends that: (1) Defendant Michael Scott Luedtke's Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction be denied; (2) Defendant Edward McCabe Robinson's Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction be denied; and (3) Defendant Irah Lee Goodwin's Motion to Dismiss be denied. Defendant Edward McCabe Robinson filed separate Objections to Report and Recommendation Re: Motion to Dismiss. (Doc. No. 95.) The Government filed a Response to Defense Objections on July 3, 2013. (Doc. No. 102.)

The Court has conducted a *de novo* review of the record, including a review of the arguments and submissions of counsel, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) and Local Rule 72.2(b). The factual background for the above-entitled matter is clearly and

precisely set forth in the Report and Recommendation and is incorporated by reference for purposes of Defendants' objections.

Defendants object to the Report and Recommendation, arguing that the relevant statutory scheme forecloses federal jurisdiction in this case. In particular, Defendants submit that the word "assisting" as used in 18 U.S.C. §1114 does not apply to correctional officers performing services pursuant to a contract with the United States Marshals Service to provide for the custody, care, and safekeeping of federal detainees. The government maintains that the Court should adopt the findings and legal conclusions of the Report and Recommendation. Having carefully reviewed the record, the Court concludes that Defendants' objections offer no basis for departure from the Report and Recommendation and that Magistrate Judge Graham correctly recommended that Defendants' motions to dismiss be denied.

Based upon the *de novo* review of the record and all of the arguments and submissions of the parties, and the Court being otherwise duly advised in the premises, the Court hereby enters the following:

ORDER

1. Defendants Michael Scott Luedtke, Edward McCabe Robinson, and Irah Lee Goodwin's objections (Doc. No. [96]) to Magistrate Judge Jeanne J. Graham's June 5, 2013 Report and Recommendation are **OVERRULED**.

2. Defendant Edward McCabe Robinson's objections (Doc. No. [95]) to Magistrate Judge Jeanne J. Graham's June 5, 2013 Report and Recommendation are **OVERRULED**.

3. Magistrate Judge Jeanne J. Graham's June 5, 2013 Report and Recommendation (Doc. Nos. [91], [92], and [93]) is **ADOPTED**.

4. Defendant Edward McCabe Robinson's Motion to Suppress February 8, 2013 Statements, Admissions, and Answers (Doc. No. [47]) is **DENIED**.

5. Defendant Michael Scott Luedtke's Motion to Suppress Statements, Admissions, and Answers (Doc. No. [55]) is **DENIED AS MOOT**.

6. Defendant Michael Scott Luedtke's Motion to Suppress Evidence Obtained as a Result of Search and Seizure (Doc. No. [56]) is **DENIED AS MOOT**.

7. Defendant Michael Scott Luedtke's Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction (Doc. No. [57]) is **DENIED**.

8. Defendant Irah Lee Goodwin's Motion to Suppress Statements (Doc. No. [60]) is **DENIED**.

9. Defendant Irah Lee Goodwin's Motion to Suppress Physical Evidence (Doc. No. [61]) is **DENIED AS MOOT**.

10. Defendant Irah Lee Goodwin's Motion to Sever Defendant (Doc. No. [70]) is **DENIED**.

11. Defendant Edward McCabe Robinson's Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction (Doc. No. [82]) is **DENIED**.

12. Defendant Irah Lee Goodwin's Motion to Dismiss (Doc. No. [83]) is **DENIED**.

Dated: July 30, 2013

s/Donovan W. Frank
DONOVAN W. FRANK
United States District Judge